

Chief Justice Reynato S. Puno Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Telefax: +63 2 526 8129 Tel: +63 2 522 5090 to 94

Pages: 2

Email: pio@supremecourt.gov.ph

Dear Chief Justice Puno,

# PHILIPPINES: Please ensure an adequate review of the Abadilla Five case

The AHRC is writing to draw your attention to the well known case of the Abadilla Five.

We have learned that on 1 April 2008, the Court of Appeals (CA) has affirmed a decision by a lower court convicting the five accused for the murder of a police colonel, Rolando Abadilla, in June 1996.

While we appreciate the CA's conclusion of this long overdue case, it is disappointing that there has not been a substantial or real review that took place. Instead of thoroughly reviewing the case, the CA has instead plagiarized the arguments invoked by the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG).

They also ignored, in absence of a reasonable and sufficient explanation, large areas of argumentation by the defense clearly stipulated in their appeals' brief.

It is disappointing that for over eight years the five accused have suffered undue and unnecessary delays in the review yet they have not been able to obtain these Constitutional rights so far. The actions taken by the CA is completely in contravention to its obligations and judicial practice. It is unthinkable that for persons formerly convicted for death the review of their case has not been taken seriously.

In fact, the legal counsels of the accused have filed a Petition for Review for Certiorari on 5 May 2008 urging the Supreme Court (SC) to declare the CA's decision as null and void. Unless and until these substantial arguments invoking the accused' innocence are not adequately dealt with, questions to the credibility and fairness of the conviction remains.

We urge the judiciary that it must uphold and reassert its judicial integrity and credibility. It must ensure that every person who is deprived of their liberty is afforded with fair, impartial and credible review by high tribunal.

Rights Commisse,

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer

Urgent Appeals programme

- 1. Justices Leonardo A. Quisumbing
- 2. Consuelo Ynares Santiago
- 3. Antonio T. Carpio
- 4. Ma. Alicia Austria-Martinez
- 5. Renato C. Corona
- 6. Conchita Carpio-Morales
- 7. Adolfo S. Azcuna
- 8. Dante O. Tinga
- 9. Minita Chico-Nazario
- 10. Presbitero J. Velasco, Jr.
- 11. Antonio Eduardo B. Nachura
- 12. Ruben T. Reyes
- 13. Teresita J. Leonardo-De Castro
- 14. Arturo D. Brion

# ASIAN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION Floor, Go-Up Commercial Building, 998 Canton Road Kowloon, Hong Kong . Tel: +(852) 2698-6339 . Fax: +(852) 2698-6367 E-mail: ahrchk@ahrchk.org . Web: www.ahrchk.net

5 May 2008

Justice Leonardo A. Quisumbing Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila **PHILIPPINES** 

Dear Justice Quisumbing,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively. Rights Commission

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Consuelo Ynares Santiago Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Dear Justice Santiago,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively.

Rights Commisse

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Antonio T. Carpio Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Dear Justice Carpio,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively.

Rights Commiss

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Ma. Alicia Austria-Martinez
Supreme Court of the Philippines
3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex
Padre Faura Street, Ermita
1000 Manila
PHILIPPINES

Dear Justice Austria-Martinez,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively.

Rights Commisso

Yours sincerely

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Renato C. Corona
Supreme Court of the Philippines
3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex
Padre Faura Street, Ermita
1000 Manila
PHILIPPINES

Dear Justice Corona,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively.

Rights Commisso

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Conchita Carpio-Morales
Supreme Court of the Philippines
3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex
Padre Faura Street, Ermita
1000 Manila
PHILIPPINES

Dear Justice Carpio-Morales,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively.

Rights Commi

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Adolfo S. Azcuna
Supreme Court of the Philippines
3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex
Padre Faura Street, Ermita
1000 Manila
PHILIPPINES

Dear Justice Azcuna,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively.

Yours sincerely

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Dante O. Tinga Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Dear Justice Tinga,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively.

Rights Continues

Yours sincerely.

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Minita Chico-Nazario Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila **PHILIPPINES** 

Dear Justice Chico-Nazario,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively. Rights Commission

Yours sincerely,

MÓON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Presbitero J. Velasco, Jr.
Supreme Court of the Philippines
3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex
Padre Faura Street, Ermita
1000 Manila
PHILIPPINES

Dear Justice Velasco,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively.

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Antonio Eduardo B. Nachura Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Dear Justice Nachura,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively.

Rights Commiss

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Ruben T. Reyes Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila **PHILIPPINES** 

Dear Justice Reyes,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively. Rights Commission

Yours sincerely,

MÓON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Teresita J. Leonardo-De Castro Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila **PHILIPPINES** 

Dear Justice Leonardo-De Castro,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively. Rights Commisson

Yours sincerely.

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Justice Arturo D. Brion Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila **PHILIPPINES** 

Dear Justice Brion,

Please see attached a letter by the Asian Human Rights Commission (AHRC) to Chief Justice Reynato Puno of the Supreme Court (SC) requesting for appropriate action to ensure that Petition for Review of the Abadilla Five case is acted promptly and effectively. Rights Commissio

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer



Chief Justice Reynato S. Puno Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Telefax: +63 2 526 8129 Tel: +63 2 522 5090 to 94

Pages: 2

Email: pio@supremecourt.gov.ph

Dear Chief Justice Puno,

# PHILIPPINES: Please ensure an adequate review of the Abadilla Five case

The AHRC is writing to draw your attention to the well known case of the Abadilla Five.

We have learned that on 1 April 2008, the Court of Appeals (CA) has affirmed a decision by a lower court convicting the five accused for the murder of a police colonel, Rolando Abadilla, in June 1996.

While we appreciate the CA's conclusion of this long overdue case, it is disappointing that there has not been a substantial or real review that took place. Instead of thoroughly reviewing the case, the CA has instead plagiarized the arguments invoked by the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG).

They also ignored, in absence of a reasonable and sufficient explanation, large areas of argumentation by the defense clearly stipulated in their appeals' brief.

It is disappointing that for over eight years the five accused have suffered undue and unnecessary delays in the review yet they have not been able to obtain these Constitutional rights so far. The actions taken by the CA is completely in contravention to its obligations and judicial practice. It is unthinkable that for persons formerly convicted for death the review of their case has not been taken seriously.

In fact, the legal counsels of the accused have filed a Petition for Review for Certiorari on 5 May 2008 urging the Supreme Court (SC) to declare the CA's decision as null and void. Unless and until these substantial arguments invoking the accused' innocence are not adequately dealt with, questions to the credibility and fairness of the conviction remains.

We urge the judiciary that it must uphold and reassert its judicial integrity and credibility. It must ensure that every person who is deprived of their liberty is afforded with fair, impartial and credible review by high tribunal.

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer

Urgent Appeals programme



- 1. Justices Leonardo A. Quisumbing
- 2. Consuelo Ynares Santiago
- 3. Antonio T. Carpio
- 4. Ma. Alicia Austria-Martinez
- 5. Renato C. Corona
- 6. Conchita Carpio-Morales
- 7. Adolfo S. Azcuna
- 8. Dante O. Tinga
- 9. Minita Chico-Nazario
- 10. Presbitero J. Velasco, Jr.
- 11. Antonio Eduardo B. Nachura
- 12. Ruben T. Reyes
- 13. Teresita J. Leonardo-De Castro
- 14. Arturo D. Brion



Chief Justice Reynato S. Puno Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Telefax: +63 2 526 8129 Tel: +63 2 522 5090 to 94

Pages: 2

Email: pio@supremecourt.gov.ph

Dear Chief Justice Puno,

# PHILIPPINES: Please ensure an adequate review of the Abadilla Five case

The AHRC is writing to draw your attention to the well known case of the Abadilla Five.

We have learned that on 1 April 2008, the Court of Appeals (CA) has affirmed a decision by a lower court convicting the five accused for the murder of a police colonel, Rolando Abadilla, in June 1996.

While we appreciate the CA's conclusion of this long overdue case, it is disappointing that there has not been a substantial or real review that took place. Instead of thoroughly reviewing the case, the CA has instead plagiarized the arguments invoked by the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG).

They also ignored, in absence of a reasonable and sufficient explanation, large areas of argumentation by the defense clearly stipulated in their appeals' brief.

It is disappointing that for over eight years the five accused have suffered undue and unnecessary delays in the review yet they have not been able to obtain these Constitutional rights so far. The actions taken by the CA is completely in contravention to its obligations and judicial practice. It is unthinkable that for persons formerly convicted for death the review of their case has not been taken seriously.

In fact, the legal counsels of the accused have filed a Petition for Review for Certiorari on 5 May 2008 urging the Supreme Court (SC) to declare the CA's decision as null and void. Unless and until these substantial arguments invoking the accused' innocence are not adequately dealt with, questions to the credibility and fairness of the conviction remains.

We urge the judiciary that it must uphold and reassert its judicial integrity and credibility. It must ensure that every person who is deprived of their liberty is afforded with fair, impartial and credible review by high tribunal.

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer

Urgent Appeals programme



- 1. Justices Leonardo A. Quisumbing
- 2. Consuelo Ynares Santiago
- 3. Antonio T. Carpio
- 4. Ma. Alicia Austria-Martinez
- 5. Renato C. Corona
- 6. Conchita Carpio-Morales
- 7. Adolfo S. Azcuna
- 8. Dante O. Tinga
- 9. Minita Chico-Nazario
- 10. Presbitero J. Velasco, Jr.
- 11. Antonio Eduardo B. Nachura
- 12. Ruben T. Reyes
- 13. Teresita J. Leonardo-De Castro
- 14. Arturo D. Brion



Chief Justice Reynato S. Puno Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Telefax: +63 2 526 8129 Tel: +63 2 522 5090 to 94

Pages: 2

Email: pio@supremecourt.gov.ph

Dear Chief Justice Puno,

# PHILIPPINES: Please ensure an adequate review of the Abadilla Five case

The AHRC is writing to draw your attention to the well known case of the Abadilla Five.

We have learned that on 1 April 2008, the Court of Appeals (CA) has affirmed a decision by a lower court convicting the five accused for the murder of a police colonel, Rolando Abadilla, in June 1996.

While we appreciate the CA's conclusion of this long overdue case, it is disappointing that there has not been a substantial or real review that took place. Instead of thoroughly reviewing the case, the CA has instead plagiarized the arguments invoked by the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG).

They also ignored, in absence of a reasonable and sufficient explanation, large areas of argumentation by the defense clearly stipulated in their appeals' brief.

It is disappointing that for over eight years the five accused have suffered undue and unnecessary delays in the review yet they have not been able to obtain these Constitutional rights so far. The actions taken by the CA is completely in contravention to its obligations and judicial practice. It is unthinkable that for persons formerly convicted for death the review of their case has not been taken seriously.

In fact, the legal counsels of the accused have filed a Petition for Review for Certiorari on 5 May 2008 urging the Supreme Court (SC) to declare the CA's decision as null and void. Unless and until these substantial arguments invoking the accused' innocence are not adequately dealt with, questions to the credibility and fairness of the conviction remains.

We urge the judiciary that it must uphold and reassert its judicial integrity and credibility. It must ensure that every person who is deprived of their liberty is afforded with fair, impartial and credible review by high tribunal.

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer

Urgent Appeals programme



- 1. Justices Leonardo A. Quisumbing
- 2. Consuelo Ynares Santiago
- 3. Antonio T. Carpio
- 4. Ma. Alicia Austria-Martinez
- 5. Renato C. Corona
- 6. Conchita Carpio-Morales
- 7. Adolfo S. Azcuna
- 8. Dante O. Tinga
- 9. Minita Chico-Nazario
- 10. Presbitero J. Velasco, Jr.
- 11. Antonio Eduardo B. Nachura
- 12. Ruben T. Reyes
- 13. Teresita J. Leonardo-De Castro
- 14. Arturo D. Brion



Chief Justice Reynato S. Puno Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Telefax: +63 2 526 8129 Tel: +63 2 522 5090 to 94

Pages: 2

Email: pio@supremecourt.gov.ph

Dear Chief Justice Puno,

# PHILIPPINES: Please ensure an adequate review of the Abadilla Five case

The AHRC is writing to draw your attention to the well known case of the Abadilla Five.

We have learned that on 1 April 2008, the Court of Appeals (CA) has affirmed a decision by a lower court convicting the five accused for the murder of a police colonel, Rolando Abadilla, in June 1996.

While we appreciate the CA's conclusion of this long overdue case, it is disappointing that there has not been a substantial or real review that took place. Instead of thoroughly reviewing the case, the CA has instead plagiarized the arguments invoked by the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG).

They also ignored, in absence of a reasonable and sufficient explanation, large areas of argumentation by the defense clearly stipulated in their appeals' brief.

It is disappointing that for over eight years the five accused have suffered undue and unnecessary delays in the review yet they have not been able to obtain these Constitutional rights so far. The actions taken by the CA is completely in contravention to its obligations and judicial practice. It is unthinkable that for persons formerly convicted for death the review of their case has not been taken seriously.

In fact, the legal counsels of the accused have filed a Petition for Review for Certiorari on 5 May 2008 urging the Supreme Court (SC) to declare the CA's decision as null and void. Unless and until these substantial arguments invoking the accused' innocence are not adequately dealt with, questions to the credibility and fairness of the conviction remains.

We urge the judiciary that it must uphold and reassert its judicial integrity and credibility. It must ensure that every person who is deprived of their liberty is afforded with fair, impartial and credible review by high tribunal.

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer

Urgent Appeals programme



- 1. Justices Leonardo A. Quisumbing
- 2. Consuelo Ynares Santiago
- 3. Antonio T. Carpio
- 4. Ma. Alicia Austria-Martinez
- 5. Renato C. Corona
- 6. Conchita Carpio-Morales
- 7. Adolfo S. Azcuna
- 8. Dante O. Tinga
- 9. Minita Chico-Nazario
- 10. Presbitero J. Velasco, Jr.
- 11. Antonio Eduardo B. Nachura
- 12. Ruben T. Reyes
- 13. Teresita J. Leonardo-De Castro
- 14. Arturo D. Brion



Chief Justice Reynato S. Puno Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Telefax: +63 2 526 8129 Tel: +63 2 522 5090 to 94

Pages: 2

Email: pio@supremecourt.gov.ph

Dear Chief Justice Puno,

# PHILIPPINES: Please ensure an adequate review of the Abadilla Five case

The AHRC is writing to draw your attention to the well known case of the Abadilla Five.

We have learned that on 1 April 2008, the Court of Appeals (CA) has affirmed a decision by a lower court convicting the five accused for the murder of a police colonel, Rolando Abadilla, in June 1996.

While we appreciate the CA's conclusion of this long overdue case, it is disappointing that there has not been a substantial or real review that took place. Instead of thoroughly reviewing the case, the CA has instead plagiarized the arguments invoked by the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG).

They also ignored, in absence of a reasonable and sufficient explanation, large areas of argumentation by the defense clearly stipulated in their appeals' brief.

It is disappointing that for over eight years the five accused have suffered undue and unnecessary delays in the review yet they have not been able to obtain these Constitutional rights so far. The actions taken by the CA is completely in contravention to its obligations and judicial practice. It is unthinkable that for persons formerly convicted for death the review of their case has not been taken seriously.

In fact, the legal counsels of the accused have filed a Petition for Review for Certiorari on 5 May 2008 urging the Supreme Court (SC) to declare the CA's decision as null and void. Unless and until these substantial arguments invoking the accused' innocence are not adequately dealt with, questions to the credibility and fairness of the conviction remains.

We urge the judiciary that it must uphold and reassert its judicial integrity and credibility. It must ensure that every person who is deprived of their liberty is afforded with fair, impartial and credible review by high tribunal.

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer

Urgent Appeals programme



- 1. Justices Leonardo A. Quisumbing
- 2. Consuelo Ynares Santiago
- 3. Antonio T. Carpio
- 4. Ma. Alicia Austria-Martinez
- 5. Renato C. Corona
- 6. Conchita Carpio-Morales
- 7. Adolfo S. Azcuna
- 8. Dante O. Tinga
- 9. Minita Chico-Nazario
- 10. Presbitero J. Velasco, Jr.
- 11. Antonio Eduardo B. Nachura
- 12. Ruben T. Reyes
- 13. Teresita J. Leonardo-De Castro
- 14. Arturo D. Brion



Chief Justice Reynato S. Puno Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Telefax: +63 2 526 8129 Tel: +63 2 522 5090 to 94

Pages: 2

Email: pio@supremecourt.gov.ph

Dear Chief Justice Puno,

# PHILIPPINES: Please ensure an adequate review of the Abadilla Five case

The AHRC is writing to draw your attention to the well known case of the Abadilla Five.

We have learned that on 1 April 2008, the Court of Appeals (CA) has affirmed a decision by a lower court convicting the five accused for the murder of a police colonel, Rolando Abadilla, in June 1996.

While we appreciate the CA's conclusion of this long overdue case, it is disappointing that there has not been a substantial or real review that took place. Instead of thoroughly reviewing the case, the CA has instead plagiarized the arguments invoked by the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG).

They also ignored, in absence of a reasonable and sufficient explanation, large areas of argumentation by the defense clearly stipulated in their appeals' brief.

It is disappointing that for over eight years the five accused have suffered undue and unnecessary delays in the review yet they have not been able to obtain these Constitutional rights so far. The actions taken by the CA is completely in contravention to its obligations and judicial practice. It is unthinkable that for persons formerly convicted for death the review of their case has not been taken seriously.

In fact, the legal counsels of the accused have filed a Petition for Review for Certiorari on 5 May 2008 urging the Supreme Court (SC) to declare the CA's decision as null and void. Unless and until these substantial arguments invoking the accused' innocence are not adequately dealt with, questions to the credibility and fairness of the conviction remains.

We urge the judiciary that it must uphold and reassert its judicial integrity and credibility. It must ensure that every person who is deprived of their liberty is afforded with fair, impartial and credible review by high tribunal.

Yours sincerely

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer

Urgent Appeals programme

- 1. Justices Leonardo A. Quisumbing
- 2. Consuelo Ynares Santiago
- 3. Antonio T. Carpio
- 4. Ma. Alicia Austria-Martinez
- 5. Renato C. Corona
- 6. Conchita Carpio-Morales
- 7. Adolfo S. Azcuna
- 8. Dante O. Tinga
- 9. Minita Chico-Nazario
- 10. Presbitero J. Velasco, Jr.
- 11. Antonio Eduardo B. Nachura
- 12. Ruben T. Reyes
- 13. Teresita J. Leonardo-De Castro
- 14. Arturo D. Brion



Chief Justice Reynato S. Puno Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Telefax: +63 2 526 8129 Tel: +63 2 522 5090 to 94

Pages: 2

Email: pio@supremecourt.gov.ph

Dear Chief Justice Puno,

# PHILIPPINES: Please ensure an adequate review of the Abadilla Five case

The AHRC is writing to draw your attention to the well known case of the Abadilla Five.

We have learned that on 1 April 2008, the Court of Appeals (CA) has affirmed a decision by a lower court convicting the five accused for the murder of a police colonel, Rolando Abadilla, in June 1996.

While we appreciate the CA's conclusion of this long overdue case, it is disappointing that there has not been a substantial or real review that took place. Instead of thoroughly reviewing the case, the CA has instead plagiarized the arguments invoked by the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG).

They also ignored, in absence of a reasonable and sufficient explanation, large areas of argumentation by the defense clearly stipulated in their appeals' brief.

It is disappointing that for over eight years the five accused have suffered undue and unnecessary delays in the review yet they have not been able to obtain these Constitutional rights so far. The actions taken by the CA is completely in contravention to its obligations and judicial practice. It is unthinkable that for persons formerly convicted for death the review of their case has not been taken seriously.

In fact, the legal counsels of the accused have filed a Petition for Review for Certiorari on 5 May 2008 urging the Supreme Court (SC) to declare the CA's decision as null and void. Unless and until these substantial arguments invoking the accused' innocence are not adequately dealt with, questions to the credibility and fairness of the conviction remains.

We urge the judiciary that it must uphold and reassert its judicial integrity and credibility. It must ensure that every person who is deprived of their liberty is afforded with fair, impartial and credible review by high tribunal.

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer

Urgent Appeals programme



- 1. Justices Leonardo A. Quisumbing
- 2. Consuelo Ynares Santiago
- 3. Antonio T. Carpio
- 4. Ma. Alicia Austria-Martinez
- 5. Renato C. Corona
- 6. Conchita Carpio-Morales
- 7. Adolfo S. Azcuna
- 8. Dante O. Tinga
- 9. Minita Chico-Nazario
- 10. Presbitero J. Velasco, Jr.
- 11. Antonio Eduardo B. Nachura
- 12. Ruben T. Reyes
- 13. Teresita J. Leonardo-De Castro
- 14. Arturo D. Brion



Chief Justice Reynato S. Puno
Supreme Court of the Philippines
3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex
Padre Faura Street, Ermita
1000 Manila
PHILIPPINES

Telefax: +63 2 526 8129 Tel: +63 2 522 5090 to 94

Pages: 2

Email: pio@supremecourt.gov.ph

Dear Chief Justice Puno,

# PHILIPPINES: Please ensure an adequate review of the Abadilla Five case

The AHRC is writing to draw your attention to the well known case of the Abadilla Five.

We have learned that on 1 April 2008, the Court of Appeals (CA) has affirmed a decision by a lower court convicting the five accused for the murder of a police colonel, Rolando Abadilla, in June 1996.

While we appreciate the CA's conclusion of this long overdue case, it is disappointing that there has not been a substantial or real review that took place. Instead of thoroughly reviewing the case, the CA has instead plagiarized the arguments invoked by the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG).

They also ignored, in absence of a reasonable and sufficient explanation, large areas of argumentation by the defense clearly stipulated in their appeals' brief.

It is disappointing that for over eight years the five accused have suffered undue and unnecessary delays in the review yet they have not been able to obtain these Constitutional rights so far. The actions taken by the CA is completely in contravention to its obligations and judicial practice. It is unthinkable that for persons formerly convicted for death the review of their case has not been taken seriously.

In fact, the legal counsels of the accused have filed a Petition for Review for Certiorari on 5 May 2008 urging the Supreme Court (SC) to declare the CA's decision as null and void. Unless and until these substantial arguments invoking the accused' innocence are not adequately dealt with, questions to the credibility and fairness of the conviction remains.

We urge the judiciary that it must uphold and reassert its judicial integrity and credibility. It must ensure that every person who is deprived of their liberty is afforded with fair, impartial and credible review by high tribunal.

Rights Commiss,

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer

Urgent Appeals programme

- 1. Justices Leonardo A. Quisumbing
- 2. Consuelo Ynares Santiago
- 3. Antonio T. Carpio
- 4. Ma. Alicia Austria-Martinez
- 5. Renato C. Corona
- 6. Conchita Carpio-Morales
- 7. Adolfo S. Azcuna
- 8. Dante O. Tinga
- 9. Minita Chico-Nazario
- 10. Presbitero J. Velasco, Jr.
- 11. Antonio Eduardo B. Nachura
- 12. Ruben T. Reyes
- 13. Teresita J. Leonardo-De Castro
- 14. Arturo D. Brion



Chief Justice Reynato S. Puno Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Telefax: +63 2 526 8129 Tel: +63 2 522 5090 to 94

Pages: 2

Email: pio@supremecourt.gov.ph

Dear Chief Justice Puno,

# PHILIPPINES: Please ensure an adequate review of the Abadilla Five case

The AHRC is writing to draw your attention to the well known case of the Abadilla Five.

We have learned that on 1 April 2008, the Court of Appeals (CA) has affirmed a decision by a lower court convicting the five accused for the murder of a police colonel, Rolando Abadilla, in June 1996.

While we appreciate the CA's conclusion of this long overdue case, it is disappointing that there has not been a substantial or real review that took place. Instead of thoroughly reviewing the case, the CA has instead plagiarized the arguments invoked by the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG).

They also ignored, in absence of a reasonable and sufficient explanation, large areas of argumentation by the defense clearly stipulated in their appeals' brief.

It is disappointing that for over eight years the five accused have suffered undue and unnecessary delays in the review yet they have not been able to obtain these Constitutional rights so far. The actions taken by the CA is completely in contravention to its obligations and judicial practice. It is unthinkable that for persons formerly convicted for death the review of their case has not been taken seriously.

In fact, the legal counsels of the accused have filed a Petition for Review for Certiorari on 5 May 2008 urging the Supreme Court (SC) to declare the CA's decision as null and void. Unless and until these substantial arguments invoking the accused' innocence are not adequately dealt with, questions to the credibility and fairness of the conviction remains.

We urge the judiciary that it must uphold and reassert its judicial integrity and credibility. It must ensure that every person who is deprived of their liberty is afforded with fair, impartial and credible review by high tribunal.

Yours sincerely,

MOON Jeong Ho Programme Officer

Urgent Appeals programme



- 1. Justices Leonardo A. Quisumbing
- 2. Consuelo Ynares Santiago
- 3. Antonio T. Carpio
- 4. Ma. Alicia Austria-Martinez
- 5. Renato C. Corona
- 6. Conchita Carpio-Morales
- 7. Adolfo S. Azcuna
- 8. Dante O. Tinga
- 9. Minita Chico-Nazario
- 10. Presbitero J. Velasco, Jr.
- 11. Antonio Eduardo B. Nachura
- 12. Ruben T. Reyes
- 13. Teresita J. Leonardo-De Castro
- 14. Arturo D. Brion



Chief Justice Reynato S. Puno Supreme Court of the Philippines 3rd Floor, New Supreme Court Building Annex Padre Faura Street, Ermita 1000 Manila PHILIPPINES

Telefax: +63 2 526 8129 Tel: +63 2 522 5090 to 94

Pages: 2

Email: pio@supremecourt.gov.ph

Dear Chief Justice Puno,

# PHILIPPINES: Please ensure an adequate review of the Abadilla Five case

The AHRC is writing to draw your attention to the well known case of the Abadilla Five.

We have learned that on 1 April 2008, the Court of Appeals (CA) has affirmed a decision by a lower court convicting the five accused for the murder of a police colonel, Rolando Abadilla, in June 1996.

While we appreciate the CA's conclusion of this long overdue case, it is disappointing that there has not been a substantial or real review that took place. Instead of thoroughly reviewing the case, the CA has instead plagiarized the arguments invoked by the Office of the Solicitor General (OSG).

They also ignored, in absence of a reasonable and sufficient explanation, large areas of argumentation by the defense clearly stipulated in their appeals' brief.

It is disappointing that for over eight years the five accused have suffered undue and unnecessary delays in the review yet they have not been able to obtain these Constitutional rights so far. The actions taken by the CA is completely in contravention to its obligations and judicial practice. It is unthinkable that for persons formerly convicted for death the review of their case has not been taken seriously.

In fact, the legal counsels of the accused have filed a Petition for Review for Certiorari on 5 May 2008 urging the Supreme Court (SC) to declare the CA's decision as null and void. Unless and until these substantial arguments invoking the accused' innocence are not adequately dealt with, questions to the credibility and fairness of the conviction remains.